

Civil Action Number
2:05-cv-752-UWC

Page 1 of 4

jurisdiction because the company derives more than \$75,000 in profits from the sale of Sudafed and Actifed, thereby satisfying the amount in controversy requirement. This argument is unavailing because “the costs borne by the defendant in complying with the injunction are irrelevant.” *Morrison v. Allstate Indem. Co.*, 228 F.3d 1255, 1268 n.9 (11th Cir. 2000) (citation omitted).

Rather, when determining whether the amount in controversy requirement is met, “the value of the requested injunctive relief is the monetary value of the benefit that would flow to the plaintiff if the injunction were granted.” *Id.* at 1268 (citation omitted). Accordingly, Pfizer now argues that the amount in controversy requirement is met because an injunction would save Plaintiff more than \$75,000 in costs associated with the investigation and prosecution of crimes relating to Crystal Meth production. In support of its argument, Pfizer notes that Walker County, where Oakman is located, has been awarded a portion of a \$750,000 federal grant to fight Crystal Meth related crime. Pfizer also relies on a newspaper article which contains a quote from a Walker County law enforcement official who opined that limiting the sale of Sudafed and Actifed would “put an end to small home labs.” (Doc. 6, Am. Notice of Removal at Ex. 1.)

The Court is not persuaded by this “evidence.” To establish diversity jurisdiction, Pfizer “must show that the benefit to be obtained by the injunction is ‘sufficiently measurable and certain to satisfy the amount in controversy requirement.’” *Morrison*, 228 F.3d at 1268 (citation omitted). While elimination of Sudafed and Actifed from the market in Oakman might have some impact on law enforcement efforts, such an impact, if any, is not “sufficiently measurable and certain to satisfy the amount in controversy requirement.” Indeed, Oakman’s costs might

increase or remain substantially the same. Pseudoephedrine would still be available for sale in other localities and, therefore, no reduction in costs might be realized. Similarly, if the number of small home Crystal Meth labs decreases, criminals might intensify their efforts with respect to other illegal drugs, thereby effectuating no reduction in costs. Given these uncertainties, this Court is not convinced that the benefit of an injunction is sufficiently measurable in this case.

Accordingly, for want of federal jurisdiction, this action must be REMANDED to the Circuit Court of Walker County, Alabama.

Done this 29th day of April, 2005.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "U.W. Clemon", written in a cursive style.

U.W. Clemon
Chief United States District Judge

